arXiv:1704.01828v1 [physics.gen-ph] 23 Mar 2017

The Thermodynamic Structure Underlying a General Dynamics — Stochastic Motion and Its Zero-Noise Deterministic Limit

Hong Qian*
Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, U.S.A.
(Dated: April 7, 2017)

Stochastic thermodynamics focuses on stochastic behavior of thermodynamic quantities. The concept of energy usually is pre-existent to a thermodynamic theory. We consider a class of general stochastic processes, with diffusion matrix $\epsilon\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})$ and drift $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n$, which has a deterministic counterpart in the zero-noise limit ($\epsilon\to 0$). We show that an energy function naturally emerges: The generalized nonequilibrium free energy $F(\epsilon)$, which defines non-adiabatic entropy production rate through the non-negative $-\mathrm{d}F(\epsilon)/\mathrm{d}t$, has a limit $\epsilon F(\epsilon)\to\varphi$, which is precisely the asymptotic exponent of the stationary probability of the stochastic process: $\pi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})\sim\omega(\mathbf{x})e^{-\varphi(\mathbf{x})/\epsilon}$. More importantly, a thermodynamic structure is revealed: Augmenting Ventsel and Freidlin's decomposition $\mathbf{b}=-\mathbf{D}\nabla\varphi+\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ where $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\nabla\varphi=0$ is $\nabla\cdot(\omega\boldsymbol{\gamma})=-\nabla\omega\cdot\mathbf{D}\nabla\varphi$: The emergent pseudo-potential φ characterizes transient relaxations and the ω stands for the physical measure of the φ-conservative motion following $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, representing recurrent nonequilibrium steady state flux. Classical Newtonian physics with the principle of equal probability a priori has $\omega(\mathbf{x})\equiv 1$, which recovers Liouville's theorem with $\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\gamma}=0$. Our result substantiates a thermodynamic structure of complex stochastic dynamics in terms of new thermodynamic quantities that include the φ for a broad class of deterministic motions beyond Newtonian dynamics.

POPULAR SUMMARY

The physicists' notion of energy is derived from Newtonian mechanics. The theory of thermodynamics is developed based on that notion, and the realization of mechanical energy dissipation in terms of heat. Since the work of L. Boltzmann, who trusted that atoms were real as early as in 1884, the heat became intimately related to the stochastic motion of the invisible atoms and molecules. In the present work, starting from a stochastic description of a class of rather general dynamics that is not limited to mechanics, we show a notion of energy can be derived mathematically, in the limit of vanishing stochasticity, based on the Kullback-Leibler divergence, or relative entropy associated with the stochastic dynamics. With the emergent notion of an energy, a stochastic thermodynamics follows. This analysis implies that an abstract "thermodynamic structure" exists, and can be formulated, for dynamics of complex systems independent of classical thermal physics, for example, in ecology.

I. INTRODUCTION

Stochastic thermodynamics focuses on stochastic behavior of thermodynamic quantities, such as fluctuating entropy and trajectory-based entropy production [1–3]. Energy is considered as a concept that is required to pre-exist to a thermodynamic theory. In classical physics, *energy* first arises in mechanics: When forces acting on point masses have potentials, energy is a conserved quantity of a Newtonian system along time, and it is partitioned into a kinetic and a potential parts. Helmholtz and Boltzmann's 1884 mechanical theory of heat significantly extended the unified concept of energy by showing that the notion of *heat*, in terms of equilibirum $T\mathrm{d}S$ where T is absolute temperature and $S=k_B\ln\Omega$, is a mathematical consequence of mechanical energy conservation [4]. In doing so, thermodynamics together with entropy, the S, and the generalized concept of internal energy, have found a dynamic basis. In the more general context of mathematical theory of dynamical systems, the notions of energy functions and entropy appear in various forms; perhaps the most well known are the idea of a Lyapunov function for a dissipative dynamics [5] and Shannon's entropy for Markov processes [6].

From the above narrative, it is not unreasonable to hypothesize that the very concept of "energy" as an emergent quantity from a detailed dynamic description of a system. In this work, we show a *thermodynamic structure*, together with the notions of energy, entropy, and *free energy*, is indeed inherent in nearly any stochastic dynamics in a continuous state space with continuous time. Such a dynamics can be characterized by its probability distribution $p(x,t)$, $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, that follows a Fokker-Planck equation [7]

$$\frac{\partial p_\epsilon}{\partial t}=-\nabla\cdot\mathbf{J}\big[p_\epsilon\big],\ \mathbf{J}\big[p_\epsilon\big]\equiv\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})p_\epsilon-\epsilon\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla p_\epsilon,\quad(1)$$

and its trajectory can be represented in terms of a stochastic process $\mathbf{X}_\epsilon(t)$ as the solution to a Langevin type equation $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{X}_\epsilon(t)=\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{X}_\epsilon)\mathrm{d}t+\big[2\epsilon\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{X}_\epsilon)\big]^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{d}B(t)$, where $B(t)$ is standard Brownian motion. We introduced the ϵ to emphasize a connection between deterministic and stochastic motions: In the limit of $\epsilon\to 0$, the stochastic trajectory $\mathbf{X}_\epsilon(t)\to\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$, which satisties $\mathrm{d}\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)/\mathrm{d}t=\mathbf{b}\big(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\big)$, and similarly $p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},t)\to\delta\big(\mathbf{x}-\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\big)$ if $p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},0)=\delta\big(\mathbf{x}-\hat{\mathbf{x}}(0)\big)$.

* hqian@u.washington.edu

The novelty of the present theory is in considering the stochastic thermodynamics in the limit of $\epsilon \to 0$. For a fixed ϵ and regarding the general stochastic dynamics in (1), recent theory of stochastic thermodynamics has introduced three key nonequilibrium thermodynamic quantities, functionals of the $p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, t)$ [8, 9]: free energy dissipation rate, f_d, house-keeping heat, Q_{hk}, and total entropy production rate e_p. f_d and Q_{hk} are also called nonadiabatic and adiabatic entropy production rates [10, 11]:

$$f_d\big[p_\epsilon\big] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{J}\big[p_\epsilon\big] \nabla \ln\left(\frac{p_\epsilon}{\pi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})}\right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}, \tag{2a}$$

$$Q_{hk}\big[p_\epsilon\big] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{J}\big[p_\epsilon\big] (\epsilon \mathbf{D})^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{J}\big[\pi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})\big] \pi_\epsilon^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}, \tag{2b}$$

$$e_p\big[p_\epsilon\big] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{J}\big[p_\epsilon\big] (\epsilon \mathbf{D})^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{J}\big[p_\epsilon\big] p_\epsilon^{-1} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}, \tag{2c}$$

in which $\pi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})$ is the stationary solution to (1). All three quantities in (2) are never negative, $e_p = f_d + Q_{hk}$, and most importantly:

$$f_d\big[p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, t)\big] = -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} F\big[p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, t)\big], \tag{3a}$$

$$F\big[p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, t)\big] \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, t) \ln\left(\frac{p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\pi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})}\right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}. \tag{3b}$$

See [1–3] for the relation between these averaged thermodynamic quantities and the trajectory-based thermodynamics, Jarzynski like equalities, and fluctuation theorems. The $F\big[p_\epsilon\big]$, therefore, serves as a Lyapunov function for the stochastic dynamics; it actually can be interpreted as a generalized free energy since $-\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p_\epsilon \ln \pi_\epsilon \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}$, $-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p_\epsilon \ln p_\epsilon \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}$, and ϵ can be identified as "mean internal energy" E, entropy S, and temperature T, thus $F = E - TS$. The equation $e_p = f_d + Q_{hk}$ and its equivalent $\mathrm{d}F/\mathrm{d}t = Q_{hk} - e_p$ offer rich insights into the fundamentals of a stochastic dynamics [11]: The former shows that the total entropy production e_p can be decomposed into a part related to transient relaxation (f_d) and a sustainedly driven, active motion (Q_{hk}). They are related to Boltzmann's and Prigogine's theses on irreversibility respectively. The latter equation, however, suggests a balance equation for the generalized free energy F in an open system, with Q_{hk} as a source and e_p as a sink. For non-driven system, $Q_{hk} = 0$ thus $\mathrm{d}F/\mathrm{d}t = -e_p$, and entropy production is the free energy that is "dissipated". Last but not the least, a nonequilibrium steady state (NESS) has $f_d = 0$ but time-independent $e_p = Q_{hk} \neq 0$ [12, 13].

The new result of the present work is the complete system of three equations in Eq. (19). The first two can be found in the mathematical work of Ventsel and Freidlin and the studies in physics that followed [14–16]. While the (19a) resembles the Helmoholtz (or Hodge) decomposition of a vector field, it is not because $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})$ is not divergence free [17]. The motion following $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})$ however, conserves the $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ according to (19b). The divergence of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})$ is provided by (19c), a key result of the present paper. The emergence of the $\omega(\mathbf{x})$ indicates the significance of a "local" invariant density of this φ-conservative motion [18], which is called degeneracy in the classical statistical mechanical termonology. While the motion following $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})$ can be complex, a physical measure usually exists [20, 21]. For an extensive discussion of the thermodynamic meanings of dissipative vs. conservative motions, and their relation to trajectory-based entropy production, see [17, 22].

II. EMERGENT POTENTIAL φ AND φ-CONSERVATIVE MOTION $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$

The mathematical theory of large deviations connects the stochastic dynamics with finite ϵ to the deterministic dynamics with $\epsilon = 0$ [23–26]. It is a rigorous and complete asymptotic theory akin to the WKB ansatz:

$$p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, t) = \omega(\mathbf{x}, t) \exp\Big[-\varphi_{td}(\mathbf{x}, t)/\epsilon + a \ln \epsilon + O(\epsilon)\Big], \tag{4}$$

in which the $\ln \omega(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the next order to the leading two terms, $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is known as a *large deviation rate function*, and $a \ln \epsilon$ arising from normalization factor is independent of $\mathbf{x}$. Taking the (4) as given and recall that $p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, t) \to \delta\big(\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\big)$, then it is easy to see that in the limit of $\epsilon \to 0$,

$$\epsilon F\big[p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, t)\big] \to \varphi\big(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\big), \ \varphi(\mathbf{x}) = -\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon \ln \pi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}). \tag{5}$$

Since $\mathrm{d}F/\mathrm{d}t = -f_d \leq 0$ (Eq. 3a), $F\big[p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, t)\big]$ is a monotonic non-incresing function of t. Consequently, (5) states that $\varphi\big(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\big)$ is a monotonic function of t, which implies that $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ is a Lyapunov function of the deterministic $\hat{x}(t)$, the solution to the dynamic equation $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}/\mathrm{d}t = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$.

This result has been known since the theory of Ventsel and Freidlin [14–16]. The above derivation, however, gaves a thermodynamically more insightful demonstration, firmly connecting (mesoscopic) stochastic free energy $F\big[p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, t)\big]$ with (macroscopsic) deterministic pseudo-potential $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$. This result validates the interpretation of $-\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p_\epsilon \ln \pi_\epsilon \mathrm{d}x$ as a mean internal energy, with $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ as the internal energy function of state $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It is important to emphasize that the original $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$ in (1) is not a gradient system in general. In terms of the new found $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$, and since the strictly positive $\pi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})$ satisfies

$$\nabla \cdot \Big(\epsilon \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \pi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) \pi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})\Big) = 0, \tag{6}$$

one can express $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) = \epsilon \mathbf{D} \nabla \ln \pi_\epsilon(x) + \pi_\epsilon^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{J}\big[\pi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})\big]$, in which $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}\big[\pi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})\big] = 0$. Let us denote

$$\boldsymbol{\Pi}_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \pi_\epsilon^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{J}\big[\pi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})\big] = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) - \epsilon \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \ln \pi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}), \tag{7}$$

which is related to Onsager's thermodynamic flux [27]. Then, under a similar WKB ansatz, as in (4), for the stationary distribution:

$$\pi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \omega(\mathbf{x}) \exp\Big[-\varphi(\mathbf{x})/\epsilon + a \ln \epsilon + O(\epsilon)\Big], \tag{8}$$

$$\mathbf{\Pi}_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \epsilon\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla\ln\omega(\mathbf{x}). \quad (9)$$

Therefore, upon taking the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\mathbf{\Pi}_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (10)$$

that is

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{D}\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (11)$$

The $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})$ is a vector field orthogonal to $\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x})$:

$$\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x})\cdot\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) = 0,\ \forall\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n. \quad (12)$$

In other words, motions following the vector field $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})$ is restricted on the level set of $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$. To see the orthogonality, let us denote $\varphi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) = -\epsilon\ln\pi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})$, which has been widely called a kinetic potential [28–30]. Then the stationary Fokker-Planck equation (1) in fact can be rewritten as an inner-product formula that is valid for all $\epsilon > 0$ [28, 33]:

$$\nabla\varphi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})\cdot\mathbf{\Pi}_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \epsilon\nabla\cdot\mathbf{\Pi}_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}). \quad (13)$$

Therefore, if $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{\Pi}_\epsilon = 0$, then there is an orthogonality between $\nabla\varphi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{\Pi}_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})$ for finite ϵ. On the other hand, in the limit of $\epsilon\to 0$, $\varphi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})\to\varphi(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{\Pi}_\epsilon\to\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\nabla\varphi = 0$.

$\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ is mathematically equivalent to detailed balance. Stochastic systems with $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = 0$ is widely considered as "non-driven" [12, 13], which is expected to approach to an equilibrium steady state in the long-time limit. For such systems, the free energy F aquires additional meaning as the potential of Onsager's thermodynamics force, $\mathbf{\Pi}_\epsilon = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla F$.

One can obtain the (11) and a new result by substituting the expression in (4) into the stationary Fokker-Planck equation (1) and equating the ϵ^{-1}-order and ϵ^0-order terms. One obtains

$$\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x})\cdot\Big(\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})\Big) = 0. \quad (14)$$

and

$$\Big(2\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})\Big)\cdot\nabla\ln\omega(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla\cdot\Big(\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})\Big). \quad (15)$$

Identifying the term inside the parenthesis of Eq. 14 as $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})$, one obtains (12). Now combining (15) with (13),

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{\Pi}_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) &= -\nabla\ln p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})\cdot\mathbf{\Pi}_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})\\ &= \left(\frac{\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x})}{\epsilon} - \nabla\psi(\mathbf{x}) + O(\epsilon)\right)\\ &\quad\cdot\Big(\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) - \epsilon\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla\psi(\mathbf{x}) + O(\epsilon^2)\Big)\\ &= \frac{\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x})\cdot\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})}{\epsilon} - \nabla\ln\omega(\mathbf{x})\cdot\Big(\mathbf{D}\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})\Big) + O(\epsilon)\\ &= -\nabla\ln\omega(\mathbf{x})\cdot\Big(2\mathbf{D}\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})\Big) + O(\epsilon). \quad (16)\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit $\epsilon\to 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) &= -\nabla\ln\omega(\mathbf{x})\cdot\Big(2\mathbf{D}\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) + b(\mathbf{x})\Big)\\ &= -\nabla\ln\omega(\mathbf{x})\cdot\Big(\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})\Big). \quad (17)\end{aligned}$$

Ths means

$$\nabla\cdot\Big(\omega(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})\Big) = -\nabla\omega(\mathbf{x})\cdot\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}). \quad (18)$$

Collecting Eqs. 11, 12 and 18 we have a system of three equations

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (19a)$$

$$\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x})\cdot\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad (19b)$$

$$\nabla\cdot\big(\omega(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})\big) = -\nabla\omega(\mathbf{x})\cdot\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}), \quad (19c)$$

in which the vector fields $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})$ represent dynamics, $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})$, which represents stochastic motion, can be thought as a geometric metrics, $\omega(\mathbf{x})$ represents local "measure" (degeneracy in the classical statistical mechanical termonology), and $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ and $\omega(\mathbf{x})$ are thermodynamic quantities akin to energy and entropy, respectively. The "noise structure", $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})$, seems to provide a unique geometry for the dynamics. In the simplest case, if $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})$ is the identity matrix, and $\omega(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ is the Lebesgue measure, then the equations in (19) become

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (20a)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})\cdot\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad (20b)$$

$$\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) = 0. \quad (20c)$$

The vector field $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})$ is now volume preserving and it also has a conserved quantity $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$. This dynamics is intimately related to that of the classical Hamiltonian systems [5]. One of the most important features of this class of dynamics is that the $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ gives the steady state probability distribution exactly for any finite ϵ in the form of $\pi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) \propto e^{-\varphi(\mathbf{x})/\epsilon}$ [17, 22].

III. φ-BASED STATISTICAL MECHANICS AND ENSEMBLE CHANGE

It is seen immediately that if one computes a partition function from energy function $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ and degeneracy $\omega(\mathbf{x})$:

$$Z(\epsilon) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\omega(\mathbf{x})e^{-\varphi(\mathbf{x})/\epsilon}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}, \quad (21)$$

then $Z^{-1}(\epsilon)\omega(\mathbf{x})e^{-\varphi(\mathbf{x})/\epsilon}$ is the asymptotic probability density for $\pi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})$. If the principle of equal probability is valid, e.g., $\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, then it is the stationary probability density of (1) for all $\epsilon > 0$.

Let us now consider a bivariate stochastic dynamics with $\mathbf{x}$ and y which is assumed to be a scalar for simplicity. The stationary joint probability for $\mathbf{x}$ and y, $p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, y)$ is related to the stationary conditional probability $p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}|y)$

through the marginal distribution for variable y, $p_{\epsilon,y}(y)$: $p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},y) = p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}|y)p_{\epsilon,y}(y)$. In the asmptotic limit of $\epsilon \to 0$, this yields

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x},y) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}|y) + \varphi_y(y), \tag{22}$$

and the partition functions

$$Z_{\mathbf{x}y}(\epsilon) = \int_y Z_{\mathbf{x}|y}(\epsilon;y)e^{-\varphi_y(y)/\epsilon}\mathrm{d}y. \tag{23}$$

The $Z_{\mathbf{x}|y}$ is the partition function with fixed y, treated as a parameter, and $Z_{\mathbf{x}y}$ is the partition function with fluctuating y. To asymptotically evaluating the integral in (23), it can be shown that at $y = \overline{y}$, the mean value of the fluctuating y:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\varphi_y(\overline{y}) = \epsilon\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\ln Z_{\mathbf{x}|y}(\epsilon;y)\right]_{y=\overline{y}} \equiv \xi_y, \tag{24}$$

where ξ_y is the conjugate variable to y. Therefore, the integrand in (23) can be approximately expressed as

$$\varphi_y(y) \simeq \varphi_y(\overline{y}) + \xi_y\big(y-\overline{y}\big). \tag{25}$$

Eqs. 23, 24, and 25 should be interpreted as J. W. Gibbs' theory of ensemble change. In doing so, the thermodynamics of a stationary system with fluctuating y and the thermodynamics of a stationary system with fixed y are logically connected. This line of inquiry shares the same spirit of the Helmholtz and Boltzmann's 1884 theory [4, 31]: Both extend the notion of energy from a system with a fixed "parameter" y to an entire family of systems with different y's [32].

IV. AN INSTANTANEOUS DETERMINISTIC ENERGY BALANCE EQUATION

While the $\epsilon F\big[p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},t)\big] \to \varphi\big(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\big)$ as $\epsilon \to 0$ and $p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},t) \to \delta\big(\mathbf{x}-\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\big)$, the free energy dissipation, housekeeping heat, and entropy production rates [8, 11], also known as non-adiabatic, adiabatic, and total entropy production rates [10], become

$$\epsilon f_d\big[p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},t)\big] \to \Big[\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x})\Big]_{\mathbf{x}=\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)}, \tag{26a}$$

$$\epsilon Q_{hk}\big[p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},t)\big] \to \Big[\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{D}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})\Big]_{\mathbf{x}=\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)}, \tag{26b}$$

$$\epsilon e_p\big[p_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},t)\big] \to \Big[\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{D}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})\Big]_{\mathbf{x}=\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)}. \tag{26c}$$

All three quantities are non-negative. They are linked through a Pythagorean-like equation, $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\big\|\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x})\big\|^2 + \big\|\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})\big\|^2 = \big\|\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})\big\|^2, \tag{27}$$

under the inner product $\langle \mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}\rangle \equiv \mathbf{v}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{u}$ and thus $\|\mathbf{u}\|^2 = \mathbf{u}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{u}$.[**?**]

For the zero-noise, deterministic motion that follows $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}(t)/\mathrm{d}t = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})$, the balanace equation $\mathrm{d}F/\mathrm{d}t = -f_d = Q_{hk} - e_p$ now becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\varphi\big(\mathbf{x}(t)\big) &= \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})\cdot\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{D}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})}_{\text{non-conservative pump}} - \underbrace{\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{D}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})}_{\text{energy dissipation}}.\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Both terms before and after the minus sign in (28) are non-negative. Eq. 28 constitutes a deterministic, instantaneous energy balance law with the non-conservative pump as the source and energy dissipation as the sink, respectively. This result is a generalization of the energy conservation of Newtonian mechanical motion to a much broad class of deterministic dynamics. For a classical Newtonian system with potential force and friction, φ is the total mechanical energy and the energy dissipation is due to the friction.

V. DISCUSSION

Classical thermodynamics, as a set of rules, is an emergent mathematical structure that describes matters that following Newtonian physics. Many thinkers consider that the former is even more absolute than the latter. A. Einstein said that thermodynamics "is the only physical theory of universal content which I am convinced will never be overthrown, within the framework of applicability of its basic concepts." What are the basic concepts of thermodynamics? In the present work, we suggest that for a given stochastic dynamics, an emergent pseudo-potential landscape $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ exists [34–37]. With respect to this $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$, a $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{x})$ which describes φ-conservative motions also arises. The former represents transient kinetics and the latter represents sustained, recurrent, steady state dynamics. The dynamics on a level set of φ is further characterized by $\omega(\mathbf{x})$.

The emergent, or underlying, thermodynamic structure is only to be discovered, or uncovered, in terms of the zero-noise limit. This should not come as a surprise: P. W. Anderson has said succinctly [38]:

> "It is only as the nucleus is considered to be a many-body system — in what is often called the $N \to \infty$ limit — that such [emergent] behavior is rigorously definable. ... Starting with the fundamental laws and a computer, we would have to do two impossible things — solve a problem with infinitely many bodies [e.g., zero noise], and then apply the result to a finite system — before we synthesized this behavior."

The emergent φ, as the limit of mesoscopic free energy $F(\epsilon)$ with $\epsilon \to 0$, is noise-depednent nevertheless in the sense that it depends on the noise structrue, e.g.,

diffusion tensor $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})$. A possible deep connection between stochastic dynamics and geometry remains to be explored. It is known that when $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = 0$, the Fokker-Planck equation in (1) is a gradient flow in a proper mathematical space [39]. In terms of the Pythagorean-like equation in (27), the stochastic dynamics following the (1) with $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = 0$ has a maximal f_d: One leg has the same length as the triangle's hypotenuse. Thus, under an appropriate geometry, Onsager's *principle of maximum dissipation* can be generalized to nonlinear regime [40]. In recent years, the theory of nonequilibrium landscape has gained wider recognitions in biological physics [34, 36, 37]. Since for a nonequilibrium stochastic system, its stationary state still has highly complex motions as NESS flux [12, 13, 36], the φ is only half the story: the $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ and its related ω provide the characterization of the NESS motion on each and every φ level set.

Very recently, instead of the Fokker-Planck equation in (1), a mathematical analysis of the stochastic kinetic model of chemical reactions, in terms of the chemical master equation with a discrete state space, has been carried out in parallel to the derivation of Eq. 5. It has uncovered also a φ, which turns out to be precisely the Gibbs function for macroscopic chemical reaction systems with heterogeneous substances [41, 42]. That result could be considered as a particular validation of the general hypothesis of the present work. Inspired by this, we have also suggested a possible thermodynamic theory of ecological dynamics [32].

In Gibbs' equilibrium statistical mechanical theory, with the presence of entropy and probability, "free energy" takes different forms for different systems in terms of different ensembles. The original relationships between energy, entropy, and probability, of course, reside in Boltzmann's two fundamental laws, one states that the equilibrium probability of a state is determined by its mechanical energy, $p(\mathbf{x}) \propto \exp\big[-E(\mathbf{x})/k_BT\big]$, and the other states that the entropy is determined by the statistical measure of the Newtonian dynamics in phase space: $S = k_B \ln \Omega$. We note that the emergent $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ and $\omega(\mathbf{x})$ from a general dynamics precisely agree with Boltzmann's laws but with a twist in the logic: Instead of mechanics first and probability follows, the hypothesis of the present paper is that stochastic dynamics first, erergy emerges, and stochastic thermodynamics follows.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank Jin Feng, Hao Ge, Yi-An Ma, Mark Peletier, and Jin Wang for helpful discussions.

[1] C. Jarzynski, *Equalities and inequalities: Irreversibility and the second law of thermodynamics at the nanoscale*, Ann. Rev. Cond. Matt. Phys. **2**, 329 (2011).

[2] U. Seifert, *Stochastic thermodynamics, fluctuation theorems, and molecular machines*, Rep. Prog. Phys. **75**, 126001 (2012).

[3] C. Van den Broeck and M. Esposito, *Ensemble and trajectory thermodynamics: A brief introduction*, Physica A **418**, 6 (2015).

[4] G. Gallavotti, *Statistical Mechanics: A Short Treatise* (Springer, Berlin, 1999).

[5] L. Perko, *Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems*, 3rd ed. (Springer, New York, 2001).

[6] A. I. Khinchin, *Mathematical Foundations of Information Theory*, R. A. Silverman and M. D. Friedman transl. (Dover, New York, 1957).

[7] C. Gardiner, *Stochastic Methods: A Handbook for the Natural and Social Sciences*, 4th ed. (Springer, New York, 2009).

[8] H. Ge and H. Qian, *Physical origins of entropy production, free energy dissipation, and their mathematical representations*, Phys. Rev. E **81**, 051133 (2010).

[9] C. Van den Broeck and M. Esposito, *The three faces of the second law: II. Fokker-Planck formulation*, Phys. Rev. E **82**, 011144 (2010).

[10] M. Esposito and C. Van den Broeck, *Three detailed fluctuation theorems*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 090601 (2010).

[11] H. Qian, *A decomposition of irreversible diffusion processes without detailed balance*, J. Math. Phys. **54**, 053302 (2013).

[12] X.-J. Zhang, H. Qian and M. Qian, *Stochastic theory of nonequilibrium steady states and its applications (Part I)*, *Phys. Rep.* **510**, 1 (2012).

[13] H. Ge, M. Qian and H. Qian, *Stochastic theory of nonequilibrium steady states (Part II): Applications in chemical biophysics*, Phys. Rep. **510**, 87 (2012).

[14] A. D. Ventsel and M. I. Freidlin, *On small random perturbations of dynamical systems*, Russ. Math. Survey **25**, 1 (1970).

[15] M. I. Dykman and M. A. Krivoglaz, *Theory of fluctuational transitions between stable states of nonlinear oscillators*, Sov. Phys. JETP **50**, 30 (1979).

[16] R. Graham and T. Tél, *Weak-noise limit of Fokker-Planck models and nondifferentiable potentials for dissipative dynamical systems*, Phys. Rev. A, **31**, 1109 (1985).

[17] H. Qian, *The zeroth law of thermodynamics and volume-preserving conservative system in equilibrium with stochastic damping*, Phys. Lett. A **378**, 609 (2014).

[18] H. Ge and H. Qian, *Landscapes of non-gradient dynamics without detailed balance: Stable limit cycles and multiple attractors*, Chaos, **22**, 023140 (2012).

[19] M. C. Mackey, *The dynamic origin of increasing entropy*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **61**, 981 (1989).

[20] L.-S. Young, *What are SRB measures, and which dynamical systems have them?* J. Stat. Phys. **108**, 733 (2002).

[21] Y.-A. Ma, Q. Tan, R. Yuan, B. Yuan and P. Ao, *Potential function in a continuous dissipative chaotic system: Decomposition scheme and role of strange attractor*, Int. J. Bifurcation Chaos **24**, 1450015 (2014).

[22] H. Qian, *Thermodynamics of the general diffusion process: Equilibrium supercurrent and nonequilibrium driven*

circulation with dissipation, Eur. Phys. J. Sp. Top. **224**, 781 (2015).

[23] M. I. Freidlin and A. D. Wentzell, *Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems* (Spinger-Verlag, New York, 1984).

[24] A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni, *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*, 2nd ed. (Springer, New York, 1998).

[25] H. Touchette, *The large deviation approach to statistical mechanics*, Phys. Rep. **478**, 1 (2009).

[26] H. Ge and H. Qian, *Analytical mechanics in stochastic dynamics: Most probable path, large-deviation rate function and Hamilton-Jacobi equation*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **26**, 1230012 (2012).

[27] H. Qian, *Mesoscopic nonequilibrium thermodynamics of single macromolecules and dynamic entropy-energy compensation*, Phys. Rev. E **65**, 016102 (2002).

[28] R. Graham and H. Haken, *Generalized thermodynamic potential for Markoff systems in detailed balance and far from thermal equilibrium*, Z. Phys. **243**, 289 (1971).

[29] R. Kubo, K. Matsuo and K. Kitahara, *Fluctuation and relaxation of macrovariables*, J. Stat. Phys. **9**, 51 (1973).

[30] G. Nicolis and R. Lefever, *Comment on the kinetic potential and the Maxwell construction in non-equilibrium chemical phase transitions*, Phys. Lett. A **62**, 469 (1977).

[31] M. Campisi, *On the mechanical foundations of thermodynamics: the generalized Helmholtz theorem*, Stud. History Philos. Mod. Phys. **36**, 275 (2005).

[32] Y.-A. Ma and H. Qian, *A thermodynamic theory of ecology: Helmholtz theorem for Lotka-Volterra equation, extended conservation law, and stochastic predator-prey dynamics*, Proc. R. Soc. A **471**, 20150456 (2015).

[33] H. Qian, M. Qian and X. Tang, *Thermodynamics of the general diffusion process: Time-reversibility and entropy production*, J. Stat. Phys. **107**, 1129 (2002).

[34] P. Ao, *Emerging of stochastic dynamical equalities and steady state thermodynamics from Darwinian dynamics*, Comm. Theoret. Phys. **49**, 1073 (2008).

[35] H. Qian and H. Ge, *Mesoscopic biochemical basis of isogenetic inheritance and canalization: Stochasticity, nonlinearity, and emergent landscape*, MCB: Mol. Cell. Biomech. **9**, 1 (2012).

[36] J. Wang, *Landscape and flux theory of non-equilibrium dynamical systems with application to biology*, Adv. Phys. **64**, 1 (2015).

[37] S. Huang, F. Li, J. X. Zhou and H. Qian, *Processes on the emergent landscapes of biochemical reaction networks and heterogeneous cell population dynamics: Differentiations in living matters*, J. R. Soc. Interf. manuscript submitted.

[38] P. W. Anderson, *More is different: Broken symmetry and the nature of the hierarchical structure of science*, Science **177**, 393 (1972).

[39] A. Mielke, M. A. Peletier and M. Renger, *On the relation between gradient flows and the large-deviation principle, with applications to Markov chains and diffusion*, Potential Anal. **41**, 1293 (2014).

[40] A. Mielke, M. A. Peletier and M. Renger, *A generalization of Onsager's reciprocity relations to gradient flows with nonlinear mobility*, J. Non-Equil. Thermody. **41**, 141 (2016).

[41] H. Ge and H. Qian, *Mesoscopic kinetic basis of macroscopic chemical thermodynamics: A mathematical theory*, Phys. Rev. E **94**, 052150 (2016).

[42] H. Ge and H. Qian, *Mathematical formalism of nonequilibrium thermodynamics for nonlinear chemical reaction systems with general rate law*, J. Stat. Phys. **166**, 190 (2017).